### Williams syndrome





- Microdeletion elastin gene + contiguous genes
- Supravalvular Ao stenosis
  - Isolated form(non syndromic) of St Ao SupraValv is alsa described; familial; AD; inactivating mutations of the elastin gene
- Dysmorphism
- ID, talkative, music gifted, friendly
   Gene = ?
- Hypersensitivity to VitD
  - > early diagnosis allows prevention of hyperCa<sup>++</sup>
- Microdeletion most often neomutation; rarely familial, AD.





Point mutation => St Ao , isolated AD transmission

Null mutation

Deletion ELN + contiguous genes => St Ao + malfo + MR + dysmorphism

confirms haploinsufficiency

#### Variable size of mutations











Recurrent interstitial microduplication (1/10000 meioses), involving a single gene (PMP22, chr.17)





- PMP22 => péripheral myelin protein
- Duplication => 3 doses
- Causes polyneuropathy
- ✓ Structurally : chromosomal mutation, microduplication
- ✓ Fonctionally: single gene involved => simple, mendelian inheritance, AD

Williams is also AD !! But syndromic, and low fitness, >95% neomutations



## Various mutations currently need different methods



In the future, all types of mutation will be amenable to whole genome sequencing

### **Mutations**

Class	Mechanism	Frequency	Examples
Genome mutation	Chromosome missegregation	>10% meioses	T21, other aneuploidies
Chromosome mutation	Chromosome rearrangement	1/1000 meioses	Microdeletion, translocation
Gene mutation	Base pair mutation	Varies with loci ~10 <sup>-6</sup> /locus /generation	Point mutations, indels

 Most Genome mutations and Chromosome mutation affect survival and/or fertility => fitness ~0

### Single-nucleotide point mutations

• Transition: purine to purine



- Transversion: pu / py
- Expect 2 x more transversions
- In fact transitions are more frequent
- Most frequent is C>T (G>A) in 5' CpG 3' dinucleotide

### DNA (hemi-) methylation



- $^{met}C = 5^{th}$  base of DNA
- Methylated promoters inactive (usually)
- Implication in gene silencing; imprinting.
- Epigenetic heredity



### <sup>met</sup>Cytosine occasional deamination



 In DNA, uracil recognized as abnormal => mutation corrected

 Thymine not recognized as abnormal => mutation remains









## Frameshift mutations and premature termination codons (PTCs)



- Normal reading frame is open (ORF): no premature stop codon
- The two other phases (normally unread) contain many stop codond (ex: TAG, TAA)
- hence, a mutation that shifts the triplet frame of codon reading (frameshift) has 2 consequences
  - 1. Changes all downstream AA
  - 2. Then premature termination codon (= truncation)

#### **Splice-site Mutation**



exon skipping

### **Splice Mutations**



exon skipping

mut



Retention of a piece of intron

### **Splice Mutations**

- Added/lost exon may be IN PHASE exon = contains 3n nucleotides
- If added/lost exon is OUT OF PHASE, splicing mutation will add frameshfit to insertion/loss of protein fragment:
  - 1. Ajout / perte d'un morceau de protéine
  - 2. Modification des AA en aval de l'ajout / perte
  - 3. Codon stop en aval de tout cela
- Idem with retention of intronic sequence in mRNA, because introns contain numerous would-be stop codons in all reading frames



### The Royal Disease explained







A>G mutation creates a new splice acceptor site
=> exonisation of 2 nucleotides, AG
=> production of a truncated factor IX protein

Rogaev et al. Science 6 November 2009: Vol. 326. no. 5954, p. 817

### Premature Termination Codon (PTC)

- Truncates the reading frame
- Several types of mutations
  - nonsense
  - Indel (small insertion/small deletion) (not multiple of 3)
  - Splice mutations
- Often causes nonsense-mediated mRNA decay



### Nonsense-mediated decay of mRNA.



Marker for degradation = Exon Junction Complex



Cartegni et al 2002 Nat Rev Genet

# Exon Junction complex

- Appears during mRNA
   maturation
- Displaced during first round of ribosomal read; special round (in nucleus?)
- If remains on cytoplasmic mRNA, induces its degradation

#### Paradox: some PTCs produce milder phenotypes in model organisms (ZF ; mouse)



□ Off target effect of morpholino

- □ Toxicity of excipient
- Genetic compensation response (transcriptional regulation)

### GENETIC COMPENSATION triggered by NMD

- Transcriptional adaptation
- Correlates with mutant mRNA degradation
- Favours genes that exhibit sequence similarity with the mutated gene's mRNA
- Via Upf3a and COMPASS components

El Brolosy et al. 2019 Nature ; Ma et al. 2019 Nature

### Genetic compensation triggered by NMD



Gene mutations that truncate the encoded protein can trigger the expression of related genes. The discovery of this compensatory response changes how we think about genetic studies in humans and model organisms. **Nonsense-induced transcriptional compensation** 

### Implications

- Missense may be more severe than nonsense even without dom neg
- Interindividual variability in transcriptional adaptation may explain variable phenotype in haploinsufficiency with PTC
  - Including upregulation of the wt allele
- Phenotype of up-regulated genes = ?
- ZF KD may be better model than ZF KO
- Some up-regulated paralogues = modifyer genes > Therap targets?
- RNAseq data may eventually help interpreting mutation effects



### Mutations that do not change an AA

- Often 3<sup>rd</sup> base ofcodons (the genetic code is « degenerate »)
- IN PRINCIPLE no effect on gene function because no effect on protein structure
- But not always: if mutation affects an Exon Splicing Enhancer, can have major functional effect independent of polypeptide sequence



### Nucleotide triplet Expansion





(a) Normal volunteer (Courtesy of Dr M. Lowry, Hull, UK.)

(b) Huntington's disease

Huntington Disease

- Degeneration of striatal neurons (caudate nuclei)
- $\downarrow$  GABA







## Large indel gene mutation : deletion or duplication of multiple exons

Consider a gene with exons A, B, C, D, E. Breakpoints in two introns: /

== A =/== B == C ==/== D ==== E==

NAHR during meiosis yields two gametes with mutations in this gene:

## Large indel gene mutation : deletion or duplication of multiple exons

Consider a gene with exons A, B, C, D, E. Breakpoints in two introns: /

== A =/== B == C ==/== D ==== E==

NAHR during meiosis yields two gametes with mutations in this gene:

> Interstital deletion (exons del, intragenic deletion):

== A === D ==== E== => mRNA: ADE

Is this deletion IN FRAME? = is nb of nucleotides 3n? If≠ 3n, frameshift, causing complete LOF

Interstitial duplication :

== A === B == C ===<u>B == C ===</u> D ==== E==

=> mRNA: ABCBCDE

Is this duplication IN FRAME? = is nb of nucleotides 3n? If≠ 3n, frameshift, causing complete LOF



### Functional effect of coding mutations

#### Null alleles

- (most) Stop codon
- (most) frameshifts
- (most) splicing

#### Loss of function (null allele)

Occasionally, trunctated product still has function: antimorph, neomorph or hypomorph

MISSENSEs : AA  $\rightarrow$  other AA

Variable effect:

- Loss of function.
  - ✓ Total
  - ✓ Partial
  - ✓ Total + 2<sup>nd</sup> allele
- Gain of function.
- Variant normal/polym.

### Functional effet, loss of function type

• Quantitative effect, with continuum


#### Functional effet, loss of function type

• Quantitative effect, with continuum



### Functional effet, loss of function type

#### • Quantitative effect, with continuum



#### Mutations causing gain or loss of function



#### Mutations and polymorphisms

• MINOR MUTATIONS = Polymorphisms

• MILD MUTATIONS

• MAJOR (SEVERE) MUTATIONS High penetrance

**all are genetic VARIANTS** = not wild type

## By definition, Polymorphism if allele frequency $\ge 0.01$

- Consider a locus with 2 alleles: A and B
- With frequences = p and q
- If p>q, q = minor allele frequency (MAF)

#### • POLYMORPHISM if $q \ge 0.01$

if q <0.01, « rare genetic variant »

## Polymorphism: allele fqcy ≥ 0.01

- A, B, O blood group
- HLA B27
- Many, many other coding changes
- Many, many non-coding SNPs
- Many, many CNPs
- Daltonism mutation
- HFE\*C282Y
- CFTR\*DF508

(! According to definition, DF508 is a human polymorphism !)

## Polymorphic markers

- Neutral polymorphisms, frequent in population (typically MAF >.05)
  - Minisatellites (obsolete)
  - Microsatellites (= short tandem repeats)
  - SNPs
  - others
- May serve as markers of chromosomal segment
  - Linkage studies, in families
  - Association studies, in populations (Gwas)

#### Genotyping polymorphic markers Microsatellite SNPs



#### Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP)



Allele 1 (allele C), frequency = p

...5' AATTGAGG 3'... ...3' TTAACTCC 5'...

Allèle 2 (allele T), frequency = q

### Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms

- Ex: 10,000 bp (#2)
- Coding or non-coding
- 2 haplotypes shown



- millions of SNPs in genome
- Many CNPs (copy number polymorphisms)

Haplotype = sequence of alleles on a short piece of chromosome

### Limited number of haplotypes at 10kb loci





AY. 版代表的版 GeneChip • • ..... ..... 465666 0.0 000 0.0 000 2 🗘 🛑 🛛 🛆 🕲 🖨 🖨 🖨 💭 🖉 E 8 😳 

1,000,000 SNPs DNA microarray chip

#### Microsatellite polymorphisms



- One microsatellite locus
- Many alleles



#### Genotyping a microsatellite



#### Genotyping a microsatellite





#### Capillary Electrophoresis, laser read-out





#### Rare genetic variants



Manolio TA et al. Nature 2009: 8; 747-53

#### DNA sequencing goes faster than interpretation





Novel mutation novel genetic agriant

(never observed before)

is it diseasecausing

#### N of 1



Intersting variant in Rotatin gene.

What if only one family affected?

Human population = saturation mutagenesis population?

# Areas of uncertainty

Is this gene associated with a disease? *Clinical Validity* 

Is this information actionable? *Clinical Utility* 



# Areas of uncertainty



## **GENETIC VARIANTS**

VARIANT	Frequency	Penetrance (fonctional effect)
Mutation	Rare	High
Polymorphism	Frequent	Low or none

Polymorphism = frequent genetic variant (MAF >.01 in population)

## GENETIC VARIANTS

VARIANT	Frequency	Penetrance (fonctional effect)
Mutation	Rare	High
VUS	Rare	??
Polymorphism	Frequent	Low or none
« Rare polymorphism »	Rare	Low or none

VUS = variant of uncertain significance : currently impossible to tell if high penetrance (phenotype-causing, mutation) or low/null penetrance (« rare polymorphism)

VUS classification will require epidemiology of mutation and/or functional data (bioinformatics, machine learning approach)

## SNVs and SNPs ;

## **CNVs and CNPs**

- Genetic variant affecting one (or few) bp (SNV) < sequencing
  - Point mutation
    - (ex: point mutation in SCN1A causing Dravet syndrome)
  - Polymorphism : **SNP**
  - VUS

- Copy number variant (CNV) < CGH array</li>
  - Mutation
    - (ex: chromosomal interstitial deletion causing Williams syndrome)
  - Polymorphism: **CNP**
  - VUS

## 5 classes of genetic variants from CGH array or sequencing

- 1. Benign (polymorphism)
- 2. Probably benign
- 3. VUS
- 4. Probably pathogenic
- 5. Pathogenic (mutation)

## VUS

Areas of uncertainty :

- 1. Causes phenotype, or not involved ?
- 2. Complete or incomplete penetrance ?



## VUS : how tell if pathogenic or benign ?

- Functional data
  - In silico : bioinformatics, machine learning
  - Experimental : beyond scope of clinical diagnosis !
- Population data: test many controls
  - Family
  - Local controls
  - Regional
  - National
  - Worldwide



European Journal of Medical Genetics

Volume 57, Issue 4, March 2014, Pages 151–156



Review

## Implementation of genomic arrays in prenatal diagnosis: The Belgian approach to meet the challenges

Olivier Vanakker<sup>a</sup>, Catheline Vilain<sup>d</sup>, Katrien Janssens<sup>b</sup>, Nathalie Van der Aa<sup>b</sup>, Guillaume Smits<sup>d</sup>, Claude Bandelier<sup>h</sup>, Bettina Blaumeiser<sup>b</sup>, Saskia Bulk<sup>g</sup>, Jean-Hubert Caberg<sup>g</sup>, Anne De Leener<sup>d</sup>, Marjan De Rademaeker<sup>c</sup>, Thomy de Ravel<sup>f</sup>, Julie Desir<sup>e</sup>, Anne Destree<sup>e</sup>, Annelies Dheedene<sup>a</sup>, Stéphane Gaillez<sup>g</sup>, Bernard Grisart<sup>e</sup>, Ann-Cécile Hellin<sup>g</sup>, Sandra Janssens<sup>a</sup>, Kathelijn Keymolen<sup>c</sup>, Björn Menten<sup>a</sup>, Bruno Pichon<sup>d</sup>, Marie Ravoet<sup>h</sup>, Nicole Revencu<sup>h</sup>, Sonia Rombout<sup>e</sup>, Catherine Staessens<sup>c</sup>, Ann Van Den Bogaert<sup>c</sup>, Kris Van Den Bogaert<sup>f</sup>,

#### Genetic variants (from sequencing / from CGH arrays)



## Findings out of scope of initial phenotype

#### Genome-wide analyses will show variants beyond initial question = incidental findings

- •Ex: child tested for ID => CGH array shows BRCA1 locus deletion (causes breast and ovarian cancers in adults)
- •Ex: child tested for ID => exome shows ApoE4 mutation (causes marginal increase in Alzheimer risk)

=> Attitude ?

- Consider actionable vs non-actionable variant
- Opt-in / opt-out choice for patient: pretest genetic counseling



#### Genetic variants (from sequencing / from CGH arrays



### Unsollicited and sollicited findings

#### Incidentaloma

- Unsollicited finding
- Actionable or not
- If actionable, inform patient and offer genetic counseling (patient and family)
- Opt-out procedure (discuss in pre-test genetic counseling)

#### Secondary variant

- Actionable change
- In predefined, international consensus set of genes (~150 genes in 2015)
- In the future, obligation to complete diagnostic-grade analysis of these genes, in any exome/genome sequenced
- Opt-out choice (pre-test counseling)
- Post-test counseling, patient and family, if positive

## **COMPLEX ALLELES**

## Polymorphism and mutation may coexist on same allele



 Here, a mutation (m) appeared on an allele that already carried a polymorphism (P)

## Polymorphism and mutation may coexist on same allele



- Mutated alleles are rare : 0.1% in this example
- P is known and frequent, hence no problem in interpretating m as a possible disease causing mutation.
- If P was rare, it might be hard to tell which of the 2 rare variants, P and m, are disease-causins: « complex allele »

#### Complex alleles with 2 rare variants


2 rare variants may lie on same allele (in cis)



Mut a and Mut b

< both parents

Mut a and Mut b < same parent

Mut a

Mut

b

- In Autosomal Recessive disease, make sure Mut a et Mut b are biallelic = in trans (left panel)
- If mut a et b are in cis, the mutation of 2<sup>nd</sup> allele remains unidentified (right panel) !

#### Ex: complex allele of the CFTR gene

## GENETIC VARIATION IN POPULATIONS



#### Populations are very polymorphic

- Individuals are all different
- genetic (and epigenetic) polymorphism
- Reveal our differences
  - Identity
  - Family links
  - Historical, geopolitical links
  - On-going evolution, adaptive changes



#### Human populations

- No races, but
- Sub-populations (« ethnic groups »)
- Common ancestors, close or distant, between all humans



#### CFTR\*DF508 ; HBB\*sickle; ethnic groups

- CF more frequent in the Northern populations (3% carry DF508)
- Sickle cell more frequent in Central Africa (10% carry drepano)

• BUT : There are no races in the human species

![](_page_77_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Ethnic prevalence of ancestral mutations

<u>Race</u> = group of individuals defined by common biological characteristics.
 No race in human species.

Human groups mix and depart constantly.

 <u>Ethny</u>: human group caracterized by biological ancestrality and/or by common language, religion, culture...
 Ill-defined borders.

#### Most Recent Common Ancestor

![](_page_79_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Most Recent Common Ancestor

![](_page_80_Figure_1.jpeg)

Population of constant size over generations

#### genealogies

![](_page_81_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Reality = mixture of both
- In a constant-sized population, every 2 individuals are related through a paternal and a maternal MOST RECENT COMMON ANCESTOR

#### The origin of genetic diversity

![](_page_82_Figure_1.jpeg)

1. MUTATION : diversity by change

pieces of homologuous chromosomes differ

2. MEIOSIS : diversity by assembly (crossing-overs)

pieces are re-shuffled

![](_page_83_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. 5 Sperm crossover activity in the class II region of the MHC. The number of men tested and the total number of sperm crossovers mapped are given for each hot spot, together with approximate hot-spot center coordinate in the consensus sequence of the human MHC<sup>10</sup>. The width of each hot spot, within which 95% of crossovers occur, was determined by normal-distribution fitting (Fig. 3). The mean male linkage map distance contributed by each hot spot, plus range seen in the different men tested, was determined from the observed hot spot crossover frequency per sperm and is given in millicentimorgans (mcM, cM×10<sup>-3</sup>); only the hot spot *DNA* 2 shows significant variation in activity between tested men. Inter–hot spot distances were estimated from data in Fig. 4. The background recombination rate of 0.04 cM/Mb is very approximate and should be treated with caution. The mean rate of male meiotic recombination in the human genome (0.89 cM/Mb)<sup>16</sup> is shown as a thin dashed line. *TAP2* and minisatellite MS32 estimates were from data published elsewhere<sup>12,14</sup>.

Jeffreys et al. nature genetics • volume 29 • october 2001

#### Intensely punctate meiotic recombination In MHC

![](_page_84_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_84_Figure_2.jpeg)

D' and L are measures of LD

Crossover hotspot in TAP2 gene (known)

Jeffreys et al. 2001

Haplotype block <=> absolute LD

![](_page_85_Figure_0.jpeg)

The lowdown on LD. Idealized representation of block-like structure of linkage disequilibrium, with regions of low haplotype diversity separated by recombinational hot spots. Lines below the blocks represent examples of the number of common haplotypes that might be present for such blocks. SNPs distinguishing the two common haplotypes in block 1 are represented by short vertical lines. The graphs plot (idealized) LD as a function of distance, averaged across pairs of sites, either for sites within a given block or within a hot spot. The plots show that within a block LD decays only gradually with distance, or not at all. Within hot-spot areas, however, LD falls away much more rapidly with distance. If no LD-generating event, such as a bottleneck, has recently occurred in the population, then there may have been enough recombination across the hot spots that the haplotypes in adjacent blocks are randomly associated. Similarly, with sufficient time, or in blocks with higher within-block recombination rates, LD may be substantially reduced for distant sites within a block, as represented here in block 4. Note that for block 1, any of the SNPs indicated would be sufficient to represent the majority of the haplotypic variation within this block. If haplotype 1 were shown to increase the risk of a condition relative to haplotype 2, however, it would be impossible to determine from association data which of the SNPs distinguishing haplotypes 1 and 2 was the biological cause of the increased risk.

![](_page_86_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Whole genome = 100,000 blocks, with a few haplotypes in population.

![](_page_87_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Whole genome = 100,000 blocks, with a few haplotypes in population.
- Everyone has 100,000 x 2 haplotypes

![](_page_88_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Whole genome = 100,000 blocks, with a few haplotypes in population.
- Everyone has 100,000 x 2 haplotypes
- Genes may overlap blocks

![](_page_89_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### MN blood group

![](_page_90_Picture_1.jpeg)

aborigine 0.024 0.304 0.672

#### Hardy – Weinberg law

- The frequency of the three genotypes AA, Aa and aa is given by the tems of the binomial expansion of (p+q)<sup>2</sup>
  = p<sup>2</sup> + 2pq + q<sup>2</sup>
- And does not change over generations
- Under certain conditions :
  - Random matings
  - No mutation
  - No selection
  - No drift
  - No migration in or out
  - Equal generations
  - Stable population

#### Hardy Weinberg

Consider dimorphic locus : 2 alleles, A or a Population, N = 10000

- 8000 individuals are AA
- 2000 individuals are aa

=> is this population in HW equilibrium?

! Phenotypes are not considered here !A is not dominant and a is not recessive

#### Punnett square for population (vs family)

![](_page_93_Figure_1.jpeg)

- N=10000; 8000 are AA, 2000 are aa, 0 are htz : NOT in equibrium
- Alleles: 16000 A, 4000 a (p=16000/20000=0.8, q=0.2)

- 1. Measure allele frequencies
- 2. Compute expected frequencies of the genotypes

- N=10000; 8000 are AA, 2000 are aa, 0 are htz : NOT in equilibrium
- Alleles: 16000 A, 4000 a (p=16000/20000=0.8, q=0.2)
- Gametes: 16000 A, 4000 a
- Next generation: Punnett square: => 6400 AA, 3200 Aa, 400 aa
  - 1. Measure allele frequencies
  - 2. Compute expected frequencies of the genotypes

![](_page_95_Figure_7.jpeg)

- N=10000; 8000 are AA, 2000 are aa, 0 are htz : NOT in equilibrium
- Alleles: 16000 A, 4000 a (p=16000/20000=0.8, q=0.2)
- Gametes: 16000 A, 4000 a
- Next generation: Punnett square, N stable
  => 6400 AA, 3200 Aa, 400 aa
- Alleles: 12800 A + 3200 A = 16000 A 800 a + 3200 a = 4000 a (p=0.8, q=0.2)
- Gametes: 16000 A, 4000 a

Male gametes p = .8 q = .2q = .8 .64 .16

![](_page_96_Figure_8.jpeg)

- N=10000; 8000 are AA, 2000 are aa, 0 are htz : NOT in equilibrium
- Alleles: 16000 A, 4000 a (p=16000/20000=0.8, q=0.2)
- Gametes: 16000 A, 4000 a
- Next generation: Punnett square, N stable
  => 6400 AA, 3200 Aa, 400 aa
- Alleles: 12800 A + 3200 A = 16000 A 800 a + 3200 a = 4000 a (p=0.8, q=0.2)
- Gametes: 16000 A, 4000 a
- Next generation: Punnett square, N stable
  => 6400 AA, 3200 Aa, 400 aa

### Male gametes p = .8 q = .2 $a \cdot .8$ $a \cdot a \cdot a$ $a \cdot a \cdot a$

![](_page_97_Figure_10.jpeg)

#### Allele proportions at equilibrium

![](_page_98_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_99_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Check if observed frequencies of genotypes match the expected frequencies
  - If yes, alleles are at HW equilibrium
  - If not => find why they aren't

Genotype	indiv
CCR5/CCR5	647
CCR5/ACCR5	134
$\Delta CCR5/\Delta CCR5$	7
Total individuals:	788
Total alleles = $2 \times 788 = 1576$	

![](_page_101_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Genotype indiv CCR5/CCR5 647 CCR5/∆CCR5 134  $\Delta CCR5/\Delta CCR5$ 7 Total individuals: 788 Total alleles =  $2 \times 788 = 1576$
- **Genotype Frequencies** 647 / 788 = .821 134 / 788 = .170
  - 7 / 788 = .009

Allele frequency: CCR5:  $2 \times 647 + 1 \times 134 = 1428$  $\triangle CCR5$ : 2 x 7 + 134 = 148

Are the genotypes in Hardy – Weinberg equilibrium?  $.906^2 = .821$ ;  $.094^2 = 0.009$ ; 2 x .906 x .094 = 170 yes

![](_page_102_Figure_6.jpeg)

Genotype	indiv	Genotype Frequencies
CCR5/CCR5	647	647 / 788 = .821
CCR5/∆CCR5	134	134 / 788 = .170
$\Delta CCR5/\Delta CCR5$	7	7 / 788 = .009
Total individuals:	788	1.000
Total alleles = 2 x 788 = 1576		

Allele frequencies:CCR5: 2 x 647 + 1 x 134 = 1428△CCR5: 2 x 7 + 134 = 148=> 148 / 1576 = 0.094

Are the genotypes in Hardy – Weinberg equilibrium?  $.906^2 = .821$ ;  $.094^2 = 0.09$ ; 2 x .906 x .094 = 170(seems almost too exactly right to be true!!) You make a strain of KO mice for a transcription factor and count the number of homozygotes and heterozygotes in F2

![](_page_104_Picture_1.jpeg)

The observed distribution is not expected, a load of -/- homozygotes are missing => suggests embryonic lethality in homozygous -/- KO.

![](_page_104_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Cystic fibrosis affects 1 newborn in 2500

# => what is the risk of CF in the 2 following future children?

![](_page_105_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### HW in AR disease: eg CF

- CF (aa) 1/2,500
- q<sup>2</sup> = 1/2,500
- q=0.02, p=.98
- htz (Aa) = 2pq = 0.04 = 1/25

Check: 4% carriers

- => 1/25 x 1/25 x 1/4 affected
- = 1/2,500 affected newborns

(Selection acts on very few individuals (1/2,500) => discard)

![](_page_106_Picture_9.jpeg)

Offspring risk (a priori) = 1/200

#### HW in AR disease: eg PKU

- PKU (aa) 1/10,000
- q<sup>2</sup> = 1/10,000
- q=0.01, p=.99
- htz (Aa) = 2pq = 0.02 = 1/50

Check: 2% carriers => 1/50 x 1/50 x 1/4 affected = 1/10,000 affected

(Selection acts on very few individuals (1/10,000) => discard)

![](_page_107_Picture_7.jpeg)

Offspring risk (a priori) = 1/400
## Genetics in families, Genetics in populations



- Cross-fertile individuals (species)
- Subpopulations isolated by
  - Geography
  - Language
  - Religion
  - ...
  - Inbreeding
  - Consanguinity

#### Allele frequencies vary in different populations



**Figure 9-1** The frequency of  $\triangle CCR5$  alleles in various geographical regions of Europe, the Middle East, and the Indian subcontinent. The various allele frequencies are shown with color coding provided on the right. *Black dots* indicate the locations where allele frequencies were sampled; the rest of the frequencies were then interpolated in the regions between where direct sampling was done. *Gray areas* are regions where there were insufficient data to estimate allele frequencies. *See Sources & Acknowledgments.* 

#### Alleles in stable populations are at H-W equilibrium

Table 26-10Comparison between Observed Frequenciesof Genotypes for the MN Blood Group Locus and theFrequencies Expected from Random Mating

	Observed			Expected		
Population	MM	ΜN	NN	ММ	MN	NN
Eskimo	0.835	0.156	0.009	0.834	0.159	0.008
Egyptian	0.278	0.489	0.233	0.274	0.499	0.228
Chinese Australian	0.332	0.486	0.182	0.331	0.488	0.181
aborigine	0.024	0.304	0.672	0.031	0.290	0.679

NOTE: The expected frequencies are computed according to the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, using the values of p and q computed from the observed frequencies.

Genes in population

# **DISTORTIONS TO H-W EQUIL**

#### Assortative matings

- = if you chose your mate nonrandomly
- Height; deafness; ...
- Consanguinity
- Geography
- Language
- Religion

#### STRATIFICATION of population



#### Sub-populations have their own H-W equilibrium

# Table 26-1Frequencies of Genotypes for Alleles at MNBlood Group Locus in Various Human Populations

	Genotype			Alle freque	Allele frequencies	
Population	ММ	MN	NN	p(M)	q(N)	
Eskimo	0.835	0.156	0.009	0.913	0.087	
Australian						
aborigine	0.024	0.304	0.672	0.176	0.824	
Egyptian	0.278	0.489	0.233	0.523	0.477	
German	0.297	0.507	0.196	0.550	0.450	
Chinese	0.332	0.486	0.182	0.575	0.425	
Nigerian	0.301	0.495	0.204	0.548	0.452	

SOURCE: W. C. Boyd, Genetics and the Races of Man. D. C. Heath, 1950.

#### HW equilibria are not additive

- Consider 2 populations in HW equilibrium at one locus
- Sample them and pool the samples
- The resulting pool is NOT at HW equilibrium
  - Stratification of the metapopulation
- If the 2 populations actually mix and mate randomly, equilibrium will be reached, at the next generation

#### Random genetic drift

- No population is infinitely large
- Each generation is a sample of the previous one
- Stochastic variation in allele frequency between generations

Ex: p=0.5, N=20 (simulation over 100 generations)

N=20 => one allele gets FIXED



#### Genetic drift and allele fixation



- Random variation of p and q, over a generation
- In small population
- Once q = 0, q remains 0
- Allele FIXATION: p=1

#### Out of Africa model:



#### Out of Africa, progressive drift

- Observe >100k SNP polymorphisms
- Measure variability (= measure heterozygosity) in various populations
- Plot variability as a fn of distance from Ethiopia capital, Addis Ababa (AA)



Li et al. Science 2008



**Figure 5.13:** A metaphorical depiction of the relationship between mutation rate, drift and diversity.

A change in either the mutation rate or effective population size changes the diversity at mutation-drift equilibrium – see text.

#### Mutations and drift, Bottlenecks and founder effects



Figure 5.8: Bottlenecks and founder events.

Circles of different colors represent different alleles. Both bottlenecks and founder events result in a loss of allelic diversity.

#### Male and female reproductive variance

Eg, in Aka pygmies (Hewlett BS, 1988)

- Male progeny mean = 6.34 variance = 8.64
- Female progeny mean = 6.34 variance = 5.20

#### Y-haplogroups



Copyright © 2005 J. D. McDonald http://www.scs.uiuc.edu/~mcdonald/WorldHaplogroupsMaps.pdf

### Hardy – Weinberg law

- The frequency of the three genotypes AA, Aa and aa is given by the tems of the binomial expansion of (p+q)<sup>2</sup>
   = p<sup>2</sup> + 2pq + q<sup>2</sup>
- And does not change over generations
- Under certain conditions :
  - Random matings
  - No mutation
  - No selection
  - No drift
  - No migration in or out
  - Equal generations
  - Stable population

## Selection

- All individuals in one generation differ qualitatively from one another
- Differential rates of survival and reproduction (fitness)
  - Natural selection (environment)
  - Artifical selection (plant or animal breeders)
- If variability is (partly) inherited, this results in the evolution of the population (microevolution)
- Via change in allele frequencies

#### Natural selection

Ex: Cystic Fibrosis (CF) affects 1/2500 individual at birth (incidence measured at birth) Patients are normal at birth progressive disease in children and young adults life expectancy = 39 yrs)

A birth, H-W equilibrium :
 1/25 heteroz <=>1/2500 affected

AA≃.96 ; Aa = .04 ; aa=1/2500

À 75 yrs, H-W equilibrium not observed :
 1/25 heteroz <=> 0 affected (all are dead)

AA≃.96 ; Aa = .04 ; aa=0

#### Selection: + or -

- NEGATIVE SELECTION : reduced fitness
   = purifying selection
- POSITIVE SELECTION : increased fitness
   = adaptive selection
- **BALANCED SELECTION** : htz performs best
- NO SELECTION : for most mutations neutral evolution

#### Fitness

- Survival into reproductive age
- Success in mating: *sexual selection*
- Ability to fertilize: gamete selection fertility, meiotic drive
- Number of progeny: *fecundity*

## Selection

- All individuals in one generation qualitatively different from one another
- Differential rates of survival and reproduction (fitness)
  - Natural selection (environment)
  - Artifical selection (plant or animal breeders)
- If variability is (partly) inherited, this results in the evolution of the population (microevolution)
- Via change in allele frequencies

#### Artificial selection (empirical)

- Since 10,000 yrs in agriculture
- Since 10,000 yrs in farming
- Works only on (partially) inherited characters





# Regression to the mean indicates non-heritability of variation

- Cross individuals from the extremes of the distribution
- If variation not inherited

   (= environment effect only):
   => crosses from both extremes
   will produce same distribution =
   regression to the mean
- If variation (partly) inherited

   (= genetic effect present)
   => distribution different in two groups



## SELECTION

- Natural or artificial
- Differential survival and reproduction of individuals
- NEGATIVE purifying selection
- POSITIVE adaptive selection
- > BALANCING

 Most changes are not selected for or against NEUTRAL evolution

#### **Negative** selection

- Most mutations that cause dominant disease
- Because these patients have fewer children (fitness <1)</li>





## **Positive** selection of mutation CCR5 \* delta32

Mutation delta32 inactivates CCR5, a co-recepteur for HIV virus

Mutation does not seem to cause any problem per se

SELECTION of this mutation by
→Plague (14<sup>th</sup> century)
→Smallpox (Variola)
→AIDS





=> This mutation will get fixed (settle) in population if selective pressure maintained (?)

#### Selection: + or -

- NEGATIVE SELECTION : reduced fitness
   = purifying selection
- POSITIVE SELECTION : increased fitness
   = adaptive selection
- **BALANCED SELECTION** : htz performs best
- **NO SELECTION** : for most mutations neutral evolution

#### **Balanced** Selection : ex: hereditary anemia



Autosomal recessive, monogenic



=> 10-20% carriers in some populations

*although mutated alleles disappear as patients die !* 



## balanced polymorphisms



CFTR mutations 1/25 (4%) carriers 1/2500 affected

#### Cystic Fibrosis (mucoviscidose)



- HbBeta \* null
  - 1/10 (10%) carriers
     1/400 affected

Thalassemia (beta 0)



Severe disease in hmz => These genetic changes can not get fixed in population

#### CFTR\*DF508

- Is a mutation, causing disease with 100% penetrance (if biallelic)
- Is a polymorphism as q = 1.5%
- Balanced selection (overdominance)

# Balanced selection of APOL1 mutation in Africa

- resistance to trypanosoma infection (sleeping disease) in heterozygotes
- Nephrosis in homozygotes (focal segmental glomerulosclerosis)
  - African American have higher rates of renal disease than European Americans







#### **Balanced selection**

- HbS mutation
  - Malaria < > Sickle-cell anemia
- CFTR mutations
  - ➢ Infant diarrhea (?) < > CF
- => Such genetic changes can not get fixed in population.. or everyone would be affected with CF, Sickle Cell, ...

### Balanced selection in oligogenic / multigenic/ complex disorders

• TLR4

Septic shock < > ischemic cardiopathy

• HFE

Iron deficiency < > iron overload

• FV Leiden

Fewer hemorrhages < > thrombophilia

#### Microevolution

- Changes in allele frequency in population
- Cross-fertile individuals



#### Positive selection (adaptive mutation)



 $\Delta p/\Delta N \equiv$  Selection = differential number of offspring, untill fixation, or untill new equilibrium reached

=> What happens to haplotype around adaptive mutation during selection ?

#### Mutation with selective advantage

Population with constant size





Because of selective advantage, fitness > 1
Over time, mutation settles, surrounded by large haplotype in LD (with constant size of population)
Haplotype structure analysis => detects

recent positive selection events
### Selective sweep



# Selective sweep



Gilbert et al. 2004

### Selective sweep in ongoing evolution



Figure 4 | A methodological template for investigating the genetic basis of human brain

**evolution. a** | Large-scale comparisons of brain-related genes across four strategically selected species that include the human, Old World monkey, rat and mouse. These comparisons can reveal broad genome-wide trends and uncover specific genes of interest (for example, genes with significantly higher rates of evolution in primates than rodents). **b** | Analysis of interesting genes identified through (**a**) in a wider range of species. This analysis allows a more detailed evolutionary investigation of individual genes to address questions such as whether the evolution of these genes is specifically accelerated in the lineage leading to humans compared with that in other primate and non-primate taxa. **c** | Polymorphism studies of interesting genes in humans. Each line represents a copy of a locus under investigation and each cross represents a mutational polymorphism. **d** | Correlating polymorphisms in humans with variations in brain phenotype (such as brain size). The phylogenetic relationships and evolutionary timescales depicted in (**a**) and (**b**) are based on data from REFS 114–118.

# How recognize human populations?







## **Ancestry Informative Markers**

= alleles with widely different frequencies among populations originating in different parts of the world



# Tell me where I come from: genetic polymorphism reflect geographical origin

#### Principal Component Analysis

- Start from 250k SNP polymorphsims
- Multivariate analysis
- Generate 2 (or 3) graphical representations of distances between populations
  = 2 (or 3) eigenvectors





